

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF A.B.T.S.)	
INTERNATIONAL CORP., D/B/A INTELNET FOR)	
PERMISSION, APPROVAL AND A CERTIFICATE)	
OF AUTHORITY TO TRANSACT THE)	CASE NO. 96-211
BUSINESS OF A RESELLER OF)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES WITHIN THE)	
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	

O R D E R

On May 17, 1996, A.B.T.S. International Corp., d/b/a Intelnet ("Intelnet") submitted an application with the Commission seeking a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to resell intrastate interexchange long-distance telecommunications services within the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Deficiencies were cured on June 11, 1996. On July 22 and July 24, 1996, Intelnet filed its response to the Commission's July 15, 1996 Order requesting additional information.

Intelnet is a New Jersey corporation with its principal office in the state of New Jersey and intends to resell tariffed services of facilities-based carriers certified by this Commission.

Intelnet does not own or operate, nor does it intend to construct, any telecommunications transmission facilities within the Commonwealth of Kentucky. All intrastate telecommunications transmission services will be provided by an underlying carrier certified by this Commission.

The application provided by Intelnet demonstrates its financial, managerial, and technical capability to provide utility service. The Commission finds that Intelnet should be authorized to resell intrastate interexchange long-distance telecommunications services within the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

Intelnet filed its proposed tariff on May 17, 1996. In response to the Commission's request for additional information, Intelnet filed revised tariff sheets on July 22 and July 24, 1996. The Commission finds that the rates proposed by Intelnet, as revised on July 22 and July 24, 1996, should be approved as the fair, just, and reasonable rates to be charged.

In Administrative Case No. 306¹ the Commission stated the importance of eliminating possible customer confusion arising from the name of the billing service, rather than the name of the provider of telecommunications services, appearing on the bill. Accordingly, Intelnet should ensure that its name appears prominently on all bills issued to customers for services rendered.

The Commission, having considered the evidence of record and being otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that:

1. Intelnet is granted authority to resell intrastate interexchange long-distance telecommunications services within the Commonwealth of Kentucky on and after the date of this Order.
2. Intelnet shall ensure that its name appears prominently on all bills issued to customers for services rendered.

¹ Administrative Case No. 306, Detariffing Billing and Collection Services, Order Dated April 30, 1990.

3. Intelnet's authority to provide service is strictly limited to those services described in this Order and Intelnet's application.


4. IntraLATA services shall be provided in accordance with the restrictions and conditions of service contained in Administrative Case No. 323.²

5. The rates proposed by Intelnet on May 17, 1996, as revised on July 22 and July 24, 1996, are hereby approved.


6. Within 30 days from the date of this Order, Intelnet shall file, pursuant to 807 KAR 5:011, its May 17, 1996 tariff sheets, as revised on July 22 and July 24, 1996, without modifications.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 11th day of September, 1996.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:


Executive Director

² Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, An Appropriate Compensation Scheme for Completion of IntraLATA Calls by Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality.